

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/747,218	12/21/2000	Stephen Meyers	4925-67	3215	
7590 07/11/2005		EXAMINER			
Michael C. Stuart, Esq. Cohen, Pontani, Lieberman & Pavane Suite 1210 551 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10176			RUDY, ANDREW J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u></u>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antique Comments		09/747,218 MEYERS, STEPHEN		EN
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a split plushing the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely ITHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19.	<u>April 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 21-28,35,36,38 and 39 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrage (Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 21-28,35,36,38 and 39 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	• •
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureatee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	Stage
Attachmen	(s)			
1) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 'No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO- 	-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's June 30, 2005 Amendment has been reviewed. The previous 35
 USC 112 rejection is withdrawn pursuant to the Amendment and related REMARKS.

2. Claims 21-28, 35, 36, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engstrom et al., US 6,463,078 in view of Faris et al., US 6,659,861.

Engstrom discloses a game system for terminals to interact in a network recreation environment, e.g. col. 2, lines 35-67; Fig. 3, cols. 6-7, 10-11, with users, 20, 22, 24; col. 16, lines where a function, i.e. "GetPlayerCaps," retrieves a players connection speeds, in a virtual location, col. 7, lines 40-49; Fig. 4B. Engstrom does not specifically disclose the terms "implementing a delay time" as recited from claim 21. However, Engstrom discloses alternative protocols, e.g. cols. 17-18, and a latency issue, e.g. col. 21, col. 28, lines 51-67.

Faris discloses a global gaming system comprising latency, i.e. delay time issues, for providing time and space stamped responses.

To have provided a delay time in the transmission of messages for simulating transmission delays in a game, i.e. recreation environment, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of Faris. The motivation for doing such would have been to incorporate common knowledge time and space responses in a game environment. Regarding the particulars of the dependent claims to have provided such common knowledge would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, e.g. claim 22, inputting a name of a proximate city from a list of cities.

Art Unit: 3627

environment. Regarding the particulars of the dependent claims to have provided such common knowledge would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, e.g. claim 22, inputting a name of a proximate city from a list of cities.

Applicant's June 30, 2005 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. Applicant states the present invention relates to a system for simulating communication delays at simulated spatial positions. The Examiner does not see the terms "simulating communication delays" present from the claim language. As is, Engstrom, as modified by Faris, is deemed to fully render obvious Applicant's claim language. It is further noted that Applicant's claim language is replete with intended claim language, e.g. claim 1, line 1, "for allowing." Such language is given patentable weight, but not the same patentable weight as positively recited claim language, e.g. claim 1, line 1, "A system." It is the Examiners position that Engstrom, as modified by Faris, may perform the intended use claim language. The time delays and linked terminals as recited by Applicant do not define over the latency of the system of Engstrom, as modified by Faris. The term virtual distance does define over the cited references.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,218

Art Unit: 3627

3. Further pertinent references are noted on the attached PTO-892. It is noted that US 5,588,139; US 5,659,691 and US 6,675,726 disclose in broad scope and content Applicant's inventive concept.

Page 4

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627